

## ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY AND METHODOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF AIR ASSET

**Mahesh Kumar Singh, Miklós Vásáry, Zsolt Baranyai**

senior lecturers

Faculty of Economics and Social Sciences, Szent István University

E-mail: [singh.mahesh.kumar@gtk.szie.hu](mailto:singh.mahesh.kumar@gtk.szie.hu), [vasary.miklos@gtk.szie.hu](mailto:vasary.miklos@gtk.szie.hu),  
[baranyai.zsolt@gtk.szie.hu](mailto:baranyai.zsolt@gtk.szie.hu)

### **Abstract**


Sustainability is focused on balancing environmental, economic and social concerns in order to “meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. Poor air quality threatens not only the well-being of city-dwellers but our long-term productivity, as well, especially in terms of the quality of life, material and vegetation damage, reduced tourism to the country, discouraged foreign investments, among others. Further, loss of productivity due to pollution-related illnesses becomes a direct economic cost also. Peoples face the greatest health risk due to prolonged exposure to vehicular pollution inevitable in their livelihood. Continued exposure to high levels of common air pollutants such as ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO) and particulate matter (PM) can result in serious health impacts. In this preliminary study, we analyze the methodological questions raised related with the cost of clean air asset valuation.

**Key Words:** Air Quality<sup>1</sup>, Valuation<sup>2</sup>, Air asset<sup>3</sup>, Pollution<sup>4</sup>, Sustainability<sup>5</sup>.

**JEL classification:** Q20; Q50

### **Introduction**

Currently, Europe is facing an unprecedented economic crisis, causing instability, unemployment and poverty. But the situation is aggravated even further by a development that seldom reaches the top stories in the news: the continuous depletion of natural resources and the pollution of our environment. This not only threatens our health and ecosystems, and changes our climate; it also undermines our future material wealth, and our future competitiveness. So, the economic crisis is not only about interest rates, budget austerity and bank bail-outs. It is fundamentally about sustainability. We all know about the unemployment that unsustainable growth fuelled by financial excesses has brought. But we are only now beginning to understand that our infrastructure, financial system, business models and everyday behavior lock us in to a short-term socio-economic model which relies far too much on running down our stock of natural capital – the water, air and other ecosystems on which we ultimately depend. This is very clear that there will be no growth in the future if it is not green growth. And the only way to achieve green growth is a concerted shift to resource efficiency – to use our natural resources much more efficiently (Bell et al. 2004). The issue of clean air quality is a good case in point. There are number of important legislative and other EU initiatives taken in the last few decades, the air we breathe today is generally cleaner than it was 10 or 20 years ago. It is one of the few areas where we have seen an absolute decoupling between economic growth and emissions. In some cases, such as sulphur dioxide emissions, significant economic growth has been paired with an 80-90% decrease in the reported emissions in less than two decades (Bell et al. 2005).



**Figure-1: Major air pollutants in Europe, clustered according to impacts on human health, ecosystems and the climate**

Source: EEA, 2010


Figure 1 shows the major air pollutants in Europe and their potential impact on human health, ecosystems and the climate. Air pollutants ignore national borders and can be carried over very long distances by the wind. This means that air pollution is not only a local or national issue but one that needs to be tackled through cooperation at European, international and even global level.

### Material and methods

The the present research review, we used Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA) methodology with taking consideration of The European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (E-PRTR), established by the E-PRTR Regulation (EU, 2006), provides information on releases of 91 different pollutants to air, water and land from around 28 000 industrial facilities in the 27 EU Member States, Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway and, from 2010, Serbia and Switzerland (E-PRTR, 2011). The E-PRTR register thus provides environmental regulators, researchers and the public across Europe with information about pollution released from industrial farms, factories and power plants, and demonstrates that national regulators are aware of the size of emissions from specific facilities within their jurisdictions. By focusing on releases to the environment, the E-PRTR addresses potential burdens on health and the environment in a way that can be measured directly using well-established methods (EU, 2006, 2008).

**Results and discussion**


The analysis presented here for all pollutants except CO<sub>2</sub> is based on the Impact Pathway Approach (IPA). It follows a logical, stepwise progression from pollutant emissions to determination of impacts and subsequently a quantification of economic damage in monetary terms (Figure 2).



**Figure 2. The impact pathway approach**

*Source: DECC, 2011*


The cost of damage caused by emissions from the E-PRTR industrial facilities in 2009 is estimated as being at least EUR 102–169 billion. A small number of industrial facilities cause the majority of the damage costs to health and the environment (Figure 3 and Map 1). Fifty per cent of the total damage cost occurs as a result of emissions from just 191 (or 2 %) of the approximately 10 000 facilities that reported at least some data for releases to air in 2009. Three quarters of the total damage costs are caused by the emissions of 622 facilities, which comprise 6 % of the total number (EU, 2008).



**Figure 3. Cumulative distribution of the 2000 E-PRTR facilities with the highest damage costs**

*Source: ETC/ACC, 2010*


Of the industrial sectors included in the E-PRTR pollutant register, emissions from the power generating sector contribute the largest share of the damage costs (estimated at EUR 66–112 billion), (Figure 4). Excluding CO<sub>2</sub>, the estimated damage costs from this sector are EUR 26–71 billion. Sectors involving production processes and combustion used in manufacturing are responsible for most of the remaining estimated damage costs (EU, 1999, EU, 2008).



**Figure 4. Aggregated damage costs by sector (2005 prices)**

Source: EU, 2008

Results aggregated by country are shown in Figure 5. Countries such as Germany, Poland, the United Kingdom, France and Italy, which have a high number of large facilities, contribute the most to total estimated damage costs.



**Figure 5. Aggregated damage costs by country, including CO<sub>2</sub>**

Source: EU, 2008

Till today, there is, no single method available to estimate the damage costs for the pollutant groups addressed in the study (i.e. the regional air pollutants, heavy metals, organic micro-pollutants and carbon dioxide). Aggregating results from the different approaches therefore poses challenges, given differences in levels of uncertainty and questions about methodological consistency. For greenhouse gases in particular, a wider debate is required on how best to estimate the economic impacts of emissions on environment and health. The report at various places addresses the uncertainty by providing damage cost estimates that have been aggregated both with and without the estimated greenhouse gas damage costs. That is why, we need to develop a new methodological tool for estimation of air asset valuation.

## Conclusion

Does clean air have a cost where it makes sense versus where it doesn't? What about human life in general? There are good reasons to think environmental regulations might increase production costs, but we didn't know how large the effect might be? We are not saying that the regulations are a bad idea, but we wanted to know the cost. We have outlined some key priorities which will guide our future work. We aim to set out a clear plan for how to ensure that our air can become even cleaner in the coming decades, so that we may live longer, and healthier, as well as protecting our most fragile ecosystems better. In this paper, we tried to give brief outlines of the valuation cost of air asset, case study, European strategy to handle the air quality issues and possible methodology to calculate the cost and benefit of the air asset. But still several questions need to be answered soon.

## References

1. Bell, M.L, Hobbs, B.F and Ellis, H. (2005), Metrics Matter: Air Quality Rankings from Different Indices of Air Pollution; *J. Air & Waste Manage. Assoc.* 2005, 55, 97-106. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10473289.2005.10464596>
2. Bell, M.L, McDermott, A, Zeger, S.L, Samet, J.M and Dominici, F. (2004), Ozone and Short-Term Mortality in 95 U.S. Urban Communities, 1987–2000; *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 2004, 292, 2372-2378. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.292.19.2372>
3. DECC (2011), *Update short term traded carbon values for UK public policy appraisal*, Department of Energy and Climate Change, October 2011 (<http://www.decc.gov.uk/assets/decc/11/cutting-emissions/carbon-valuation/3137-update-short-term-traded-carbon-values-uk.pdf>) accessed 28 October 2011.
4. EEA (2010), *The European Environment — State and Outlook 2010*, European Environment Agency (<http://www.eea.europa.eu/soer>) accessed 9 November 2011.
5. E-PRTR (2011), 'E-PRTR — The European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register' (<http://prtr.ec.europa.eu>) accessed 2 November 2011.
6. ETC/ACC (2010), *E-PRTR Review Report 2010 covering the 2008 E-PRTR dataset*, European Topic Centre on Air and Climate Change report for the European Environment Agency ([http://air-climate.eionet.europa.eu/docs/ETCACC\\_TP\\_2010\\_5\\_RevRep\\_2008\\_E-PRTRdata.pdf](http://air-climate.eionet.europa.eu/docs/ETCACC_TP_2010_5_RevRep_2008_E-PRTRdata.pdf)) accessed 2 December 2010.
7. EU (1999) Council Directive 1999/32/EC of 26 April 1999 relating to a reduction in the sulphur content of certain liquid fuels and amending Directive 93/12/EEC, OJ L 121, 11.5.1999, p. 13–18 (<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:31999L0032:EN:NOT>) accessed 27 July 2011.
8. EU (2006), Regulation (EC) No 166/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 January 2006 concerning the establishment of a European Pollutant Release and

- Transfer Register and amending Council Directives 91/689/EEA and 96/61/EC. Official Journal of the European Union, L33/1, 4.2.2006.
9. EU (2008), Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe, OJ L 152, 11.6.2008(<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:152:0001:0044:EN:PDF>) accessed 27 July 2011.
  10. Zéman Z., Tóth M., Bárczi J. (2008): Modelling of Developing process of Controlling Activity especial regard to Accounting R&D and Innovation Concerning Management Activities Bulletin of the Szent István University II.: pp. 485-495. (2008)