## **OVERVIEW OF CONSERVATION TILLAGE PRACTICES FOR DEVELOPING AND SUSTAINING CLIMATE CHANGE RESILIENT SOILS IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA**

## **A TALAJKÍMÉLŐ MŰVELÉSI RENDSZEREK ÁTTEKINTÉSE AZ ÉGHAJLATVÁLTOZÁS HATÁSAHOZ ALKALMAZKODNI KÉPES TALAJOK KIALAKÍTA ÉS FENNTARTÁSA CÉLJÁBÓL A SZUBSZAHARAI AFRIKÁBAN**

### **MODIBA MAIMELA MAXWELL – HANAA MOHAMMED IBRAHIM THARWAT – IGOR DEKEMATI – BARBARA SIMON [mmodibawell@gmail.com](mailto:mmodibawell@gmail.com)**

## **Abstract**

*Change in hydrological intensity in the form of rainfall is predicted to worsen in Southern Africa. Compounded by consequences of higher global temperatures such as heatwaves, may render many Southern African regions prone to drought. The agricultural sectors of the latter regions are faced with a greater threat. In view of such concerns, the aim of this study is to review the available literature to evaluate climate-smart agricultural practices suitable for the mitigation of climate change challenges faced by farmers. Climate-smart agriculture practices are advocated as alternatives for mitigating and managing climate change problems, increasing food production, and improving rural people's livelihoods. This review paper is aimed at the bestowed benefits of climate-smart agricultural practices on soil physical, chemical, and biological and its association to mitigate or manage predicted climate change effects in SSA.*

**Keywords:** *CA (Conservation Agriculture), Tillage, Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), Climate change, Climate-smart agriculture (CSA)* **JEL code:** *Q1, Q54, Q56*

# **Összefoglalás**

*A hidrológiai intenzitás csapadék formájában bekövetkező változása az előrejelzések szerint tovább romlik Dél-Afrikában. A magasabb globális hőmérséklet következményei, például a hőhullámok miatt sok dél-afrikai régió ki van téve a szárazságnak. Ez utóbbi régiók mezőgazdasági ágazatai nagyobb veszélynek vannak kitéve. Az ilyen aggodalmakra tekintettel e tanulmány célja a rendelkezésre álló szakirodalom áttekintése az éghajlati szempontból intelligens mezőgazdasági gyakorlatok értékelése érdekében, amelyek alkalmasak a gazdálkodók előtt álló klímaváltozási kihívások enyhítésére. A klímaorientált okos mezőgazdasági gyakorlatok alternatívát nyújtanak az éghajlatváltozással kapcsolatos problémák enyhítésére és kezelésére, az élelmiszertermelés növelésére és a vidéki emberek megélhetésének javítására. Ez az áttekintés a klímaorientált okos mezőgazdasági gyakorlatok által a talajfizikai, kémiai és biológiai gyakorlatokban rejlő előnyök megismerését célozza, és* 

*ezeknek az összefüggéseknek az éghajlatváltozás előre jelzett hatásainak enyhítését vagy kezelését a Szaharától délre eső területeken.*  **Kulcsszavak:** *talajkímélő mezőgazdaság, talajművelés, Szubszaharai Afrika, éghajlatváltozás, klímaorientált okos mezőgazdaság* **JEL kód:** *Q1, Q54, Q56*

### **Introduction**

The agricultural sector plays a vital role in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) in, supporting livelihoods and safeguarding food security for many human populations. However, the region is facing various challenges including negative climate effects on agricultural production. Droughts, land degradation, diminishing crop yields, decreased biodiversity, and food insecurity (KIBOI et al., 2017; SHEPPARD et al., 2020). Change in weather affecting the physical, chemical, and biological properties and human well-being poses a threat to sustainable food and fibre production in SSA (BATTISTI - NAYLOR, 2009; SERME et al., 2015). Climate-smart agriculture practices are advocated as alternatives for mitigating and managing climate change problems and developing resilient climate change soils in SSA.

Conservation tillage (CST) refers to an umbrella term describing holistic techniques employed in farming to minimize soil disturbance by preventing erosion, and conserving moisture, and organic matter. Conversely, the conventional tillage (CT) method involves ploughing the soil to a significant depth to invert and break up the soil (OBIA et al., 2020). It is effective in burying crop residues and controlling weeds but can lead to soil erosion and loss of organic matter (ASFAWESEN MOLLA et al., 2021; KARUMA et al., 2014). Distinct from CT, CST promotes the retention of crop residues and maintains permanent soil cover. Reduces soil disturbance (OBIA et al., 2020; SIMWAKA et al., 2020). The significance of these practices in agriculture is but not limited to enhancing soil hydrological dynamics and preventing soil erosion. They also improve water infiltration and enhance carbon sequestration, thus improving the soil's resiliency to the impacts of climate change.

Farming in the SSA region is susceptible to climate change impacts, such as erratic rainfall patterns, recurrent droughts, and increased land degradation (EZE et al., 2020; OBIA et al., 2020). Already many regions of the continent are characterized by soils with low fertility (ZINGORE et al., 2015). Moreover, the practice of CT aggravates these challenges, by rendering the soil prone to run-off, organic matter (OM) oxidation and water loss (GITHONGO et al., 2021; MARTÍNEZ et al., 2017). Therefore, there is a need to adopt conservation tillage practices that can enhance the resilience of soils to climate change and promote sustainable agricultural production.

In view of such concerns, the overview aims to review the developed and implemented conservation tillage practices to evaluate their suitability to the mitigate challenges posed by climate change. This paper will discuss the potential threats of climate to SSA and the benefits of adopting conservation tillage to buffer current and future climate threats. Conservation practices extend an opportunity for the SSA region to safeguard sustainable and productive food and fibre production and livelihood resilience during climate change. Hence after assessing conservation tillage practices in SSA, this overview aims to contribute to the knowledge base and promote wider adoption of these strategies for the benefit of farmers and ecosystems.

## **Methodology**

This review paper was compiled based on various published journal papers related to tillage methods on the physical, chemical, and biological properties of the soil.

- **I. Soil tillage concepts** 
	- **Conventional tillage/traditional tillage (CT): "**involves primary and secondary cultivation as the major means of seedbed preparation and weed control. Conventional tillage typically includes a sequence of soil tillage, such as disking after harvest, ploughing after the first rain and harrowing, to produce a fine seedbed"(BOTHA et al., 2015)
	- **Conservation tillage (CST): "Conservation tillage is the collective umbrella term** commonly given to no-tillage, direct drilling, minimum tillage and or ridge tillage, to denote that the specific practice has a conservation goal of some nature. Usually, the retention of 0.3 surface cover by residues characterizes the lower limit of classification for conservation tillage, but other conservation objectives for the practice include conservation of time, fuel, earthworm, soil water, soil structure and nutrients. Thus, residue levels alone do not adequately describe all conservation tillage practices" (SAH et al., 2008).

### **Table 1. Distinguishing features between conservation and conventional tillage / 1. táblázat: A hagyományos és a talajvédő talajművelés megkülönböztető jellemzői**



*Source: BHAN - BEHERA, (2014) / Forrás: BHAN - BEHERA, (2014)*

### **II. Predicted Changing Climate Effects on the Southern African Region**

**Consequences of changing climate** Increased land degradation (SHEPPARD et al., 2020).

- Decreased soil fertility
- Decreased crop yields
- Loss of arable land
- Decreasing biodiversity
- Negative impacts on livelihoods
- Threat to food security

### **Predicted climate-changing Impacts in Southern Africa**

Southern Africa has been under a dark cloud of adverse weather conditions for the past 4 decades (SHEPPARD et al., 2020) and it seems likely that the situation will change for the better. Projections by climate experts predict future negative impacts owing to climate change in the southern African region (SHONGWE et al., 2011). The predicted climate changes will threaten some of the current conservation goals such as soil and water conservation, enhanced crop yield and carbon sequestration. Throughout central towards southern Africa, mean maximal temperatures are projected to increase (CAIRNS et al., 2012). Extreme average warmth will worsen water scarcity tension in dry times because of high plant transpiration and supplementary decrease of plant-available soil water (CAIRNS et al., 2013; LA ROVERE et al., 2010). Meanwhile, there is an alternate possibility for tropical regions to be wetter (JAMES - WASHINGTON, 2013) whereas sub-tropical areas are further prone more likely to be influenced by changes in present rainfall forms (MAIDMENT et al., 2015; MUTHONI et al., 2019; IPCC, 2014).

In view of climate change in Southern Africa, numerous studies predicted yield variations. Extreme mean temperatures will aggravate water stress in dry periods due to aggravated plant transpiration and more depletion of available water capacity (CAIRNS et al., 2013; LOBELL et al., 2013). The latter may decrease crop yields, threatening southern African people's food availability and sustenance (SHEPPARD et al., 2020). As reported already, repeated waterscarce periods are causing Frequent loss of rain-dependent maize crops, exceeding 40%, in areas of Southern Africa, including north-eastern South Africa and northern Botswana. Furthermore, significant crops like sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.), wheat (*Triticum* spp.) and millet (e.g., *Eleusine* spp. and *Pennisetum* spp.) were not exempted from climate change consequences. As mentioned by (O'NEAL et al., 2005), weather change is amongst the foremost human-induced agent of erosion through an increased extreme water cycle. Additionally, heightened deterioration of soil, loss of SOC, nutrient loss, and biomass (NIANG et al., 2014). Soil that has a reduced capacity to retain and distribute water and nutrients can offer minimal assistance to crops dealing with the effects of climate change (BALKOVIČ et al., 2018). Therefore, conservation methods have been widely praised as significant techniques to mitigate and enhance the resilience of soils to climate change consequences.

#### **III. Effects of tillage methods on Soil physical, chemical and biological properties and association with climate change adaptation and mitigation**

Aggregation is impacted by changes in soil's physical state, chemical composition, and biological factors. Human-induced physical disturbance with mechanical equipment has a dramatic impact on soil structure. Because it creates unsustainable aggregates and pore spaces relative to naturally occurring pores and aggregates. Conservation tillage enhances structural aggregation thus improving soil air and water pore spaces.

### **Structure and aggregation**

Predicted changes in the future of African temperatures may be accompanied by a decrease in precipitation, thus altering soil fertility (SHONGWE et al., 2011). Various researchers stressed about high temperatures and precipitation, increasing the rate of soil fertility loss in the form of SOC and worsening nutrient drainage (ELBASIOUNY et al., 2022). SOC plays a pivotal role in connecting and soil stabilization form, improving nutrient recycling and soil physical properties (BEARE et al., 1994; MARTENS, 2000) as well as regulating atmospheric carbon

dioxide (MTYOBILE et al., 2020; NIGUSSIE et al., 2021a; SMITH et al., 2020). As such, soil organic carbon (SOC) improvement may be an approach to alleviate climate change problems (LAL, 2015).

Soil management and synthetic nutrient provision to the soil are among the factors that impact soil structure enhancement and storage of carbon in the soil. Equally the latter practices contribute directly and indirectly effects on the development and biodiversity of plants and microbes, thus enhancing organic contribution in the soil (XIE et al., 2015). For instance, in a study by CHICHONGUE et al. (2022) two tillage systems CA and CT and two fertilizer treatments fertilized and non-fertilized under seven cropping patterns (four sole and 3 intercrops) in Southern Mozambique were evaluated for soil chemical properties including SOC. According to their results, CA practices enhanced SOC and total nitrogen (TN) compared to CT practices. Similarly, MALOBANE et al. (2020) observed microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and enzyme activities as affected by tillage (no-till (NT) and CT), cropping systems and residue retention in South Africa. The results showed that both tillage and residue management were the principal factors affecting the soil biological indicators. Additionally, NT and 30% plant biomass retention extensively improved MBC and selected enzyme activities relative to CT and plant biomass removal treatment, respectively. NIGUSSIE et al. (2021) in Ethiopia in a Cambisol and Chernozem soil type evaluated the Soil management practices (minimum tillage (MT) and CT) and they also observed an improvement in SOC and TN through MT compared to CT. Numerous other studies in SSA also have recommended conservation practices for enhancing SOC and other properties involved in soil aggregation (ASFAWESEN MOLLA et al., 2021; GITHINJI et al., 2011; GOTOSa et al., 2011; NAAB et al., 2017; NWACHUKWU et al., 2020).

### **Bulk density and total porosity**

The relationship between bulk density (BD) and the tillage method has been widely studied (BASSETT et al., 2010; KARUMA et al., 2014; MTYOBILE et al., 2020; SERME et al., 2015; WILLIAMS - TATE, 2022). However, there are still inconsistencies regarding which tillage method promotes an increase in BD. Other, studies reported that they observed an increase in BD after the introduction of NT in the top layer of the soil (SERME et al., 2015). Less intensive such as zero tillage and NT allows the build-up of organic matter, which enhances the abundance and diversity of soil biota. The presence of macrofauna such as earthworms creates permanent burrows by bioturbation thus increasing soil porosity. In turn, residue retention in CA slows the rate of water flow during rainfall, thus allowing the soil to absorb and store more water. Studies by ASFAWESEN MOLLA et al. (2021) reported an increase in total porosity under zero tillage and in zero tillage (ZT) coupled with intercropped treatments. Correspondingly, other researchers observed an increase in BD in NT treatment relative to CT (NAAB et al., 2017; SERME et al., 2015). Furthermore, MALOBANE et al. (2021) reported high mean weight diameter (MWD) under the NT system relative to CT, indicating that the intensive nature of CT decreases MWD which is associated with aggregation thus promoting the formation of macro-pores that are more prone to erosion. Pore structure distinguished by the shapes is as significant like all microscopic structural parameters due to their direct impact on root development, moisture, and air movement through tillage and soil (MALOBANE et al., 2021). BASSETT et al. (2010) highlighted that tillage-induced macropores store more water however, more plant-available water was observed under NT relative to CT due to mesopores and high aggregate stability.

#### **Infiltration/water conservation**

The ability of soil to allow water movement is a significant phenomenon in hydrology and ecosystem functions. For that reason, soil surface rainfall might penetrate the soil and enhance moisture storage or replenish water table resources or runoff and result in erosion (BARANIAN KABIR et al., 2020). THIERFELDER - WALL, (2009) mentioned that combining zero tillage, plant biomass retention and crop variability may highly enhance water infiltration quantity. This was attributed to less disturbance, thus improving soil fertility-related properties which enhanced the ability of the soil to absorb and store more moisture. Ascribed increase of hydrometric moisture content due to the accumulation of crop biomass retention under NT, which is associated with improving pore spaces and decreased soil moisture loss (VILAKAZI et al., 2022). Moreover, both NT and five years of CT retained residues which favoured infiltration hence decreasing runoff and evaporation from the land surface. Conversely, MALOBANE et al. (2021), found more disconnected pores in CT relative to NT, due to the physical breakdown which degrades pore functions and lowers aeration and water infiltration. In another study, CT practices improved the pore volume segment of residual pores by 57% relative to CA-maize-legume, whereas CA-sole maize and CA-maize legume improved the volume segment of storage pores by 17% and 24% relative to CT-SM respectively (SIMWAKA et al., 2020).

### **Chemical properties**

### **Plant nutrients**

Tillage type has also been widely shown to affect nutrient distribution within the soil profile. Generally, most soil nutrients are concentrated in the rhizosphere, the top first layer that is impacted due to poor land management. Land management in the manner of intensive soil disturbance owing to the use of a plough promotes soil erosion and SOC decomposition. More so, soil nutrients are associated with an increase in SOC in the soil. Furthermore, the ability of the soil to retain more nutrients is measured by its cation exchange capacity (CEC). Soil CEC impacts soil fertility, structural stability, and pH buffer capacity. As influenced by changes in SOC and pH, there is a possibility that CA systems can positively influence CEC. (SITHOLE - MAGWAZA, 2019) found high CEC in RT and NT treatments. Other scholars also reported improved CEC under CA practices (CHAUKE et al., 2022) however, (WILLIAMS - TATE, 2022) reported low CEC under NT.

Other studies observed an increment in P, K, Ca, and Mg under NT relative to CT (CHAUKE et al., 2022; Mtyobile et al., 2020). However, pH was high under CT relative to CA practices (NT, MT, and RT). (NIGUSSIE et al., 2021a; SITHOLE - MAGWAZA, 2019) obtained low TN under CT relative to MT and a low C: N ratio under MT compared to CT. High NO<sup>-3</sup>-N, C: N ratio, TN, and NH<sup>+</sup>-N were observed under NT compared to CT (CHAUKE et al., 2022; THIERFELDER et al., 2022).

### **Biological properties**

### **SOC**

SOC has been widely cited as a key indicator of soil quality (THIERFELDER et al., 2022). It is the earliest soil component to succumb to erosion and strangely the most difficult to restore, presenting significant challenges to modern farming (CHOWANIAK et al., 2020). The latter is

affected by land management practices such as tillage, residue retention, cropping system, and crop rotations.

Soil tillage introduces oxygen in the soil thus increasing microbial decomposition (NJAIMWE et al., 2018; VILAKAZI et al., 2022). Tillage also incorporates crop residues left on the soil surface that act as a cushion to protect against structural disintegration by rainfall drops. However, NT or CA systems, present more SOC amelioration (BABATUNDE et al., 2016). Reducing tillage amount or employing NT can potentially reduce SOC quantity loss in the soil by reducing macroaggregate turnover frequency. Furthermore, by elevating the physical protection of particulate organic material, and decreasing residue incorporation (PAGE et al., 2020).

Various authors have reported contrasting findings regarding the relationship between CT and CA in enhancing and conserving SOC. (DIOP et al., 2021) recorded more soil nutrient loss and SOC in hand-applied treatments relative to NT, Plough-plant, plough-harrow-plant and bare plot.

## **Crop yield**

Since the American Dust Bowl, a body of research concerning the ability of CA systems to enhance yield and develop resilient soils has increased globally. The inclusion of intercropping, residue retention and less soil disturbance in CA systems are paramount practices for the projected climate change impacts. These practices provide topsoil runoff decrease of enhanced instance may assist in handling more harsh rainstorms elevated diurnal recurrence dry spells (KASSAM et al., 2009).

Improved soil physical, chemical, and biological properties, directly and indirectly, affect crop yield. For example, SITHOLE - MAGWAZA, (2019) reported higher average, yields in NT and RT relative to CT at a higher rate  $(200 \text{ kg N} \text{ ha}^{-1})$  of N application however, there was no impact on yield in the absence of nutrient amendments. Similarly, GITHINJI et al. (2011) obtained a higher yield when CA tillage was coupled with 60kgN/ha relative to CA coupled with 0kgN/ha and CT. SERME et al. (2015) reported that sorghum yield in the South Sudan Zone of Burkina Faso varied based on how soil fertility was managed. A greater sorghum yield was achieved by using ZT along with compost, urea, and NPK compared to using tied ridges. MUONI et al. (2019) reported low grain yield under conventional ploughing (at most 4074 kg/ha) compared to CA and NT treatments (ranging between 3000 and 6000 kg/ha). MTYOBILE - MHLONTLO, (2020) reported an increase in maize grain yield under MT in South Africa compared to CT. KODZWA et al. (2020) observed an increase in maize grain under reduced tillage (RT) relative to mouldboard plough, moreover, the addition of mulch played a significant role during dry spells. SELOLO et al. (2023) reported a 45% higher grain yield in MT compared to CT in the 2019/20 season.

Barring soil moisture as a limiting factor, the maize harvests attained through MT systems were comparable to those of the CT (KIBOI et al., 2017; MUPANGWA et al., 2012). Additionally, in years of below-average rainfall, the MT systems performed even better. Moisture enhances the rate of mineral release from organic or insoluble forms and transports them towards roots (KIBOI et al., 2017). Increased soil moisture promotes root growth and improves the nutrient supply to crop roots. Under high rainfall, THIERFELDER et al. (2016) observed higher yields in NT compared to CT practices. USMAN - ALI, (2021) obtained higher sesame yield in ridge tillage relative to ZT and flatbeds. Araya et al. (2011) reported that permanently raised beds increased wheat yield in 2 years compared to CT tillage practices.

Studies by BABATUNDE et al. (2016) reported higher yields under CT systems, due to well nutrient distribution and higher moisture infiltration. NAAB et al. (2017) reported higher yields of maize and Soybean under CT compared to NT. MASVAYA et al., (2017) obtained high grain in two consecutive seasons under ploughing treatment relative to ripping. Conversely, the addition of fertilizers enhanced yield in ripper relative to ploughing. NIGUSSIE et al. (2021a) reported an increase in maize grain yield under CT relative to MT. NYAMANGARA et al., (2014) in a meta-analysis study evaluating CA (planting basin) and CT (ripper tillage) found that CA obtained higher maize yield relative to CT. JAMES - CHUKWUEBUKA, (2017) reported higher maize grain in deep tillage plots compared to shallow tillage and zero tillage.

## **Conclusions**

The impact of CST on soil physical, chemical, and biological properties was reviewed in SSA. The inclusion of CST in CA could be significant for the African agricultural sector and its environment. However, there lacks sufficient research information on some of the parameters that could aid fast tracking the adoption rate. Most research focuses on parameters such as BD, Porosity, aggregate stability etc. yet information on enzyme activity (beta-glucosidase, acid, and alkaline phosphatase) as early indicators of the impacts of land management practices on soil properties. Generally, CST has proven to be a sustainable pathway to developing climateresilient soils in SSA.

## **References**

ARAYA, T. – CORNELIS, W.M. – NYSSEN, J. – GOVAERTS, B. – BAUER, H. – GEBREEGZIABHER, T. – OICHA, T. – RAES, D. – SAYRE, K.D. – HAILE, M. – DECKERS, J. (2011): Effects of conservation agriculture on runoff, soil loss and crop yield under rainfed conditions in Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. Soil Use Manag 27, 404–414. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.2011.00347.x>

ASFAWESEN MOLLA, G. – DANANTO, M. – DESTA, G. (2021): Effect of Tillage Practices and Cropping Pattern on Soil Properties and Crop Yield in the Humid Lowlands of Beles Sub-Basin, Ethiopia. American Journal of Plant Biology 6, 101. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajpb.20210604.15>

BABATUNDE, I.J. – EWULO, AGELE, OGUNDARE, S.K. (2016): Reduced Tillage Effect on Soil Physico-Chemical Properties, Growth and Yield of Maize in Gleysol and Ultisol of Kogi State, Nigeria. American Research Journal of Agriculture 2, 1–11.

BALKOVIČ, J. – SKALSKÝ, R. – FOLBERTH, C. – KHABAROV, N. – SCHMID, E. – MADARAS, M. – OBERSTEINER, M. – VAN DER VELDE, M. (2018): Impacts and Uncertainties of +2°C of Climate Change and Soil Degradation on European Crop Calorie Supply. Earths Future 6, 373–395.<https://doi.org/10.1002/2017EF000629>

BARANIAN KABIR, E. – BASHARI, H. – BASSIRI, M. – MOSADDEGHI, M.R. (2020): Effects of land-use/cover change on soil hydraulic properties and pore characteristics in a semiarid region of central Iran. Soil Tillage Res 197.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2019.104478>

BASSETT, T. – TITSHALL, L. – HUGHES, J. – THIBAUD, G. (2010): The effect of tillage and nitrogen application on soil water retention, hydraulic conductivity and bulk density at Loskop, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, in: World Congress of Soil Science, Soil Solutions for a Changing World. pp. 173–176.

BATTISTI, D.S. – NAYLOR, R.L. (2009): Historical warnings of future food insecurity with unprecedented seasonal heat. Science (1979) 323, 240–244. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1164363>

BEARE, M.H. – HENDRIX, P.F. – CABRERA, M.L. – COLEMAN, D.C. (1994): Aggregate-Protected and Unprotected Organic Matter Pools in Conventional- and No-Tillage Soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal 58, 787–795. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1994.03615995005800030021x>

BHAN, S. – BEHERA, U.K. (2014): Conservation agriculture in India-Problems, prospects and policy issues, International Soil and Water Conservation Research.

BOTHA, J.J. – ANDERSON, J.J. – VAN STADEN, P.P. (2015): Rainwater harvesting and conservation tillage increase maize yields in South Africa. Water Resources and Rural Development 6, 66–77.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wrr.2015.04.001>

CAIRNS, J. – SONDER, K. – ZAIDI, P. – VERHULST, N. – MAHUKU, G. – BABU, R. – NAIR, S. – DAS, B. – GOVAERTS, B. – VINAYAN, M. – RASHID, Z. – NOOR, J. – DEVI, P. – SAN VICENTE, F. – PRASANNA, B.M. (2012): Title: Maize production in a changing climate: Impacts, adaptation and mitigation strategies.

CAIRNS, J.E. – HELLIN, J. – SONDER, K. – ARAUS, J.L. – MACROBERT, J.F. – THIERFELDER, C. – PRASANNA, B.M. (2013): Adapting maize production to climate change in sub-Saharan Africa. Food Secur.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-013-0256-x>

CHAUKE, P.B. – NCIIZAH, A.D. – WAKINDIKI, I.I. – MUDAU, F.N. – MADIKIZA, S. – MOTSEPE, M. – KGAKATSI, I. (2022): No-till improves selected soil properties, phosphorous availability and utilization efficiency, and soybean yield on some smallholder farms in South Africa. Journal Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems.

CHICHONGUE, Ó. – VAN TOL, J.J. – CERONIO, G.M. – DU PREEZ, C.C. – KOTZÉ, E. (2022): Short-Term Effects of Tillage Systems, Fertilization, and Cropping Patterns on Soil Chemical Properties and Maize Yields in a Loamy Sand Soil in Southern Mozambique. Agronomy 12.<https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12071534>

CHOWANIAK, M. – GŁĄB, T. – KLIMA, K. – NIEMIEC, M. – ZALESKI, T. – ZUZEK, D. (2020): Effect of tillage and crop management on runoff, soil erosion and organic carbon loss. Soil Use Manag 36, 581–593.<https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12606>

DIOP, M. – QUANSAH, C. – LOGAH, V. – DEMBELE, G. (2021): Soil fertility erosion on cropland: impact of tillage and soil amendments in Ghana Soils of forest island in Africa View project West African Agricultural Productivity Program View project SOIL FERTILITY EROSION ON CROPLAND: IMPACT OF TILLAGE AND SOIL AMENDMENTS IN GHANA, Article in Journal of the Ghana Science Association.

ELBASIOUNY, H. – EL-RAMADY, H. – ELBEHIRY, F. – RAJPUT, V.D. – MINKINA, T. – MANDZHIEVA, S. (2022): Plant Nutrition under Climate Change and Soil Carbon Sequestration. Sustainability (Switzerland).<https://doi.org/10.3390/su14020914>

EZE, S. – DOUGILL, A.J. – BANWART, S.A. – HERMANS, T.D.G. – LIGOWE, I.S. – THIERFELDER, C. (2020): Impacts of conservation agriculture on soil structure and hydraulic properties of Malawian agricultural systems. Soil Tillage Res 201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2020.104639>

GITHINJI, H.K. – OKALEBO, J.R. – OTHIENO, C.O. – BATIONO, A. – KIHARA, J. – WASWA, B.S. (2011): Effects of Conservation Tillage, Fertilizer Inputs and Cropping Systems on Soil Properties and Crop Yield in Western Kenya, in: Innovations as Key to the Green Revolution in Africa. Springer Netherlands, pp. 281–288. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2543-2_27) [2543-2\\_27](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2543-2_27)

GITHONGO, M.W. – KIBOI, M.N. – NGETICH, F.K. – MUSAFIRI, C.M. – MURIUKI, A. – FLIESSBACH, A. (2021): The effect of minimum tillage and animal manure on maize yields and soil organic carbon in sub-Saharan Africa: A meta-analysis. Environmental Challenges. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2021.100340>

GOTOSA, T. – MVUMI, B. – CHIKUKURA, L. – NYAGUMBO, I. (2011): Long term effects of conservation agriculture on soil organic carbon and maize yield in Zimbabwe Sustainable Intensification in Maize-legume Systems of Eastern and Southern Africa (SIMLESA) View project ecotoxicology and solid waste management View project, in: African Crops Science Proceedings. pp. 509–514.

JAMES, N.N. – CHUKWUEBUKA, C.O. (2017): Organic carbon dynamics and changes in some physical properties of soil and their effect on grain yield of maize under conservative tillage practices in Abakaliki, Nigeria. Afr J Agric Res 12, 2215–2222. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ajar2017.12333>

JAMES, R. – WASHINGTON, R. (2013): Changes in African temperature and precipitation associated with degrees of global warming. Clim Change 117, 859–872. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0581-7>

KARUMA, A. – MTAKWA, P. – AMURI, N. – GACHENE, C.K. – GICHERU, P. (2014): Tillage Effects on Selected Soil Physical Properties in a Maize-Bean Intercropping System in Mwala District, Kenya. Int Sch Res Notices 2014, 1–12.<https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/497205> KASSAM, A. – FRIEDRICH, T. – SHAXSON, F. – PRETTY, J. (2009): The spread of conservation agriculture: Justification, sustainability and uptake. Int J Agric Sustain 7, 292– 320.<https://doi.org/10.3763/ijas.2009.0477>

KIBOI, M.N. – NGETICH, K.F. – DIELS, J. – MUCHERU-MUNA, M. – MUGWE, J. – MUGENDI, D.N. (2017): Minimum tillage, tied ridging and mulching for better maize yield and yield stability in the Central Highlands of Kenya. Soil Tillage Res 170, 157–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2017.04.001>

KODZWA, J.J. – GOTOSA, J. – NYAMANGARA, J. (2020): Mulching is the most important of the three conservation agriculture principles in increasing crop yield in the short term, under sub humid tropical conditions in Zimbabwe. Soil Tillage Res 197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2019.104515>

LA ROVERE, R. – KOSTANDINI, G. – TAHIROU, A. – DIXON, J. – MWANGI, W. – GUO, Z. – BÄNZIGER, M. (2010): Potential impact of investments in drought tolerant maize in Africa.

LAL, R. (2015): Sustainable Intensification for Adaptation and Mitigation of Climate Change and Advancement of Food Security in Africa, in: Sustainable Intensification to Advance Food Security and Enhance Climate Resilience in Africa. Springer International Publishing, pp. 3– 17. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-09360-4\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-09360-4_1)

LOBELL, D.B. – HAMMER, G.L. – MCLEAN, G. – MESSINA, C. – ROBERTS, M.J. – SCHLENKER, W. (2013): The critical role of extreme heat for maize production in the United States. Nat Clim Chang 3, 497–501.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1832>

MAIDMENT, R.I. – ALLAN, R.P. – BLACK, E. (2015): Recent observed and simulated changes in precipitation over Africa. Geophys Res Lett 42, 8155–8164. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL065765>

MALOBANE, M.E. – NCIIZAH, A.D. – BAM, L.C. – MUDAU, F.N. – WAKINDIKI, I.I.C. (2021): Soil microstructure as affected by tillage, rotation and residue management in a sweet sorghum-based cropping system in soils with low organic carbon content in South Africa. Soil Tillage Res 209.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2021.104972>

MALOBANE, M.E. – NCIIZAH, A.D. – NYAMBO, P. – MUDAU, F.N. – WAKINDIKI, I.I.C. (2020): Microbial biomass carbon and enzyme activities as influenced by tillage, crop rotation and residue management in a sweet sorghum cropping system in marginal soils of South Africa. Heliyon 6.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05513>

MARTENS, D.A. (2000): Plant residue biochemistry regulates soil carbon cycling and carbon sequestration. Soil Biol Biochem 32, 361–369.

MARTÍNEZ, J.M. – GALANTINI, J.A. – DUVAL, M.E. – LÓPEZ, F.M. (2017): Tillage effects on labile pools of soil organic nitrogen in a semi-humid climate of Argentina: A longterm field study. Soil Tillage Res 169, 71–80.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2017.02.001>

MASVAYA, E.N. – NYAMANGARA, J. – DESCHEEMAEKER, K. – GILLER, K.E. (2017): Tillage, mulch and fertiliser impacts on soil nitrogen availability and maize production in semiarid Zimbabwe. Soil Tillage Res 168, 125–132.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2016.12.007>

MTYOBILE, M. – MHLONTLO, S. (2020) : Evaluation of tillage practices on selected soil chemical properties, maize yield and net return in O. R. Tambo District, South Africa. Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development 13, 158–164. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JAERD2020.1199>

MTYOBILE, M. – MUZANGWA, L. – MNKENI, P.N.S. (2020): Tillage and crop rotation effects on soil carbon and selected soil physical properties in a Haplic Cambisol in Eastern Cape, South Africa. Soil and Water Research 15, 47–54. [https://doi.org/10.17221/176/2018-](https://doi.org/10.17221/176/2018-SWR) [SWR](https://doi.org/10.17221/176/2018-SWR)

MUONI, T. – MHLANGA, B. – FORKMAN, J. – SITALI, M. – THIERFELDER, C. (2019): Tillage and crop rotations enhance populations of earthworms, termites, dung beetles and centipedes: Evidence from a long-term trial in Zambia. Journal of Agricultural Science 157, 504–514.<https://doi.org/10.1017/S002185961900073X>

MUPANGWA, W. – TWOMLOW, S. – WALKER, S. (2012): Reduced tillage, mulching and rotational effects on maize (Zea mays L.), cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (Walp) L.) and sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. (Moench)) yields under semi-arid conditions. Field Crops Res 132, 139– 148.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2012.02.020>

MUTHONI, F.K. – ODONGO, V.O. – OCHIENG, J. – MUGALAVAI, E.M. – MOURICE, S.K. – HOESCHE-ZELEDON, I. – MWILA, M. – BEKUNDA, M. (2019): Long-term spatialtemporal trends and variability of rainfall over Eastern and Southern Africa. Theor Appl Climatol 137, 1869–1882.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-018-2712-1>

NAAB, J.B. – MAHAMA, G.Y. – YAHAYA, I. – PRASAD, P.V.V. (2017): Conservation agriculture improves soil quality, crop yield, and incomes of smallholder farmers in northwestern Ghana. Front Plant Sci 8.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00996>

NIANG, I. – RUPPEL, O.C. – ABDRABO, M.A. – DUBE, P. – LEARY, N. – SCHULTE-UEBBING, L. – FIELD, C. – DOKKEN, D. – MACH, K. – BILIR, T. – CHATTERJEE, M. – EBI, K. – ESTRADA, Y. – GENOVA, R. – GIRMA, B. – KISSEL, E. – LEVY, A. (2014): Katrien Descheemaeker (Netherlands), Houria Djoudi (Algeria), Kristie L. Ebi (USA), Papa Demba Fall (Senegal), Ricardo Fuentes (Mexico), Rebecca Garland (South Africa), in: Africa. Pieter Pauw, pp. 1199–1265.

NIGUSSIE, A. – HAILE, W. – AGEGNEHU, G. – KIFLU, A. (2021a): Grain Yield and Nitrogen Uptake of Maize (Zea mays L.) as Affected by Soil Management Practices and Their Interaction on Cambisols and Chernozem. International Journal of Agronomy 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/3411456>

NIGUSSIE, A. – HAILE, W. – AGEGNEHU, G. – KIFLU, A. (2021b): Grain Yield and Nitrogen Uptake of Maize (Zea mays L.) as Affected by Soil Management Practices and Their Interaction on Cambisols and Chernozem. International Journal of Agronomy 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/3411456>

NJAIMWE, A.N. – MNKENI, P.N.S. – MUCHAONYERWA, P. – CHIDUZA, C. – WAKINDIKI, I.I.C. (2018): Sensitivity of selected chemical and biological soil quality parameters to tillage and rotational cover cropping at the Zanyokwe Irrigation Scheme, South Africa. South African Journal of Plant and Soil 35, 321–328. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02571862.2018.1446225>

NWACHUKWU, C. – ORAKWE, L. – NWAJINKA, C. – UMEMBAMALU, C. – ANIZOBA, D. (2020): Effects of tillage methods and soil depths on soil chemical properties in south eastern Nigeria, Journal of Agricultural Engineering and Technology (JAET).

NYAMANGARA, J. – NYENGERAI, K. – MASVAYA, E.N. – TIRIVAVI, R. – MASHINGAIDZE, N. – MUPANGWA, W. – DIMES, J. – HOVE, L. – TWOMLOW, S. (2014): Effect of conservation agriculture on maize yield in the semi-arid areas of Zimbabwe. Exp Agric 50, 159–177.<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479713000562>

OBIA, A. – CORNELISSEN, G. – MARTINSEN, V. – SMEBYE, A.B. – MULDER, J. (2020): Conservation tillage and biochar improve soil water content and moderate soil temperature in a tropical Acrisol. Soil Tillage Res 197.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2019.104521>

O'NEAL, M.R. – NEARING, M.A. – VINING, R.C. – SOUTHWORTH, J. – PFEIFER, R.A. – (2005): Climate change impacts on soil erosion in the Midwest United States with changes in crop management, in: Catena. pp. 165–184.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2005.03.003>

PAGE, K.L. – DANG, Y.P. – DALAL, R.C. (2020): The Ability of Conservation Agriculture to Conserve Soil Organic Carbon and the Subsequent Impact on Soil Physical, Chemical, and Biological Properties and Yield. Front Sustain Food Syst. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2020.00031>

SAH, G. – MANADHAR, G.M. – ADHIKARY, S.K. – TRIPATHI, J. (2008): Conservation Agriculture: A System for Sustainable Food Production, in: Proceedings of the Third SAS-N Convention. pp. 65–72.

SELOLO, K.R. – MZEZEWA, J. – ODHIAMBO, J.J. (2023): Short-term effects of tillage and leaf mulch on soil properties and sunflower yield under semi-arid conditions. Plant Soil Environ 69, 55–61.<https://doi.org/10.17221/160/2022-PSE>

SERME, I. – OUATTARA, K. – LOGAH, V. – TAONDA, J. – PALE, S. – QUANSAH, C. – ABAIDOO, C. (2015): Impact of tillage and fertility management options on selected soil physical properties and sorghum yield. Int J Biol Chem Sci 9, 1154. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ijbcs.v9i3.2>

SHEPPARD, J.P. – RECKZIEGEL, R.B. – BORRASS, L. – CHIRWA, P.W. – CUARANHUA, C.J. – HASSLER, S.K. – HOFFMEISTER, S. – KESTEL, F. – MAIER, R. – MÄLICKE, M. – MORHART, C. – NDLOVU, N.P. – VESTE, M. – FUNK, R. – LANG, F. – SEIFERT, T. – TOIT, B. – DU, KAHLE, H.P. (2020): Agroforestry: An appropriate and sustainable response to a changing climate in Southern Africa? Sustainability (Switzerland). <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12176796>

SHONGWE, M.E. – VAN OLDENBORGH, G.J. – VAN DEN HURK, B. – VAN AALST, M. (2011): Projected changes in mean and extreme precipitation in Africa under global warming. Part II: East Africa. J Clim 24, 3718–3733.<https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI2883.1>

SIMWAKA, P.L. – TESFAMARIAM, E.H. – NGWIRA, A.R. – CHIRWA, P.W. (2020): Carbon sequestration and selected hydraulic characteristics under conservation agriculture and traditional tillage practices in Malawi. Soil Research 58, 759–769. <https://doi.org/10.1071/SR20007>

SITHOLE, N.J. – MAGWAZA, L.S. (2019): Long-term changes of soil chemical characteristics and maize yield in no-till conservation agriculture in a semi-arid environment of South Africa. Soil Tillage Res 194.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2019.104317>

SMITH, P. – SOUSSANA, J.F. – ANGERS, D. – SCHIPPER, L. – CHENU, C. – RASSE, D.P. – BATJES, N.H. – VAN EGMOND, F. – MCNEILL, S. – KUHNERT, M. – ARIAS-NAVARRO, C. – OLESEN, J.E. – CHIRINDA, N. – FORNARA, D. – WOLLENBERG, E. –  $ALVARO-FUENTES$ , J. – SANZ-COBENA, A. – KLUMPP, K. (2020): How to measure, report and verify soil carbon change to realize the potential of soil carbon sequestration for atmospheric greenhouse gas removal. Glob Chang Biol.<https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14815>

THIERFELDER, C. – MATEMBA-MUTASA, R. – BUNDERSON, W.T. – MUTENJE, M. – NYAGUMBO, I. – MUPANGWA, W. (2016): Evaluating manual conservation agriculture systems in southern Africa. Agric Ecosyst Environ 222, 112–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.02.009>

THIERFELDER, C. – PATERSON, E. – MWAFULIRWA, L. – DANIELL, T.J. – CAIRNS, J.E. – MHLANGA, B. – BAGGS, E.M. (2022): Toward greater sustainability: how investing in soil health may enhance maize productivity in Southern Africa. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems 37, 166–177.<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742170521000442>

THIERFELDER, C. – WALL, P.C. (2009): Effects of conservation agriculture techniques on infiltration and soil water content in Zambia and Zimbabwe. Soil Tillage Res 105, 217–227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2009.07.007>

USMAN, M. – ALI, A. (2021) : Effects of Tillage and Fertilizer on Soil Properties and Yield of Sesame Varieties in Makurdi, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Soil Science 30, 106–116.

VILAKAZI, B.S. – ZENGENI, R. – MAFONGOYA, P. (2022): Selected Soil Physicochemical Properties under Different Tillage Practices and N Fertilizer Application in Maize Mono-Cropping. Agriculture (Switzerland) 12.<https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12101738>

WILLIAMS, A. – TATE, J. (2022): The short-term effect of tillage on soil physicochemical properties in Bayelsa State, Nigeria. Turkish Journal of Food and Agriculture Sciences 49–56. <https://doi.org/10.53663/turjfas.1172539>

XIE, J.Y. – XU, M.G. – CIREN, Q. – YANG, Y. – ZHANG, S.L. – SUN, B.H. – YANG, X.Y. (2015): Soil aggregation and aggregate associated organic carbon and total nitrogen under longterm contrasting soil management regimes in loess soil. J Integr Agric 14, 2405–2416. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(15\)61205-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(15)61205-9)

ZINGORE, S. – MUTEGI, J. – AGESA, B. – TAMENE, L. – KIHARA, J. (2015): Soil Degradation in Sub-Saharan Africa and Crop Production Options for Soil Rehabilitation. Better crops with plant food 99, 24–26.

## **Authors**

### **Modiba Maimela Maxwell**

PhD Student Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences Institute of Environmental Sciences Department of Soil Science 2100 Gödöllő, Páter Károly u. 1. mmodibawell@gmail.com

### **Hanaa Tharwat Mohamed Ibrahim**

PhD Student Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences Institute of Environmental Sciences Department of Soil Science 2100 Gödöllő, Páter Károly u. 1. hanaatharwat26@hotmail.com

### **Dr. Igor Dekemati PhD**

associate professor Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences Institute of Crop Production 2100 Gödöllő, Páter Károly u. 1.

#### **Dr. Barbara Simon PhD**

associate professor Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences Institute of Environmental Sciences Department of Soil Science 2100 Gödöllő, Páter Károly u. 1. simon.barbara@uni-mate.hu

This paper was presented at the 6th ISCW conference.

A műre a Creative Commons 4.0 standard licenc alábbi típusa vonatkozik: [CC-BY-NC-ND-4.0.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.hu)

