APPLICATION OF VIRTUAL REALITY IN THE TOURISM INDUSTRY – A LITERATURE REVIEW

Polishchuk Elizaveta

Abstract

As we navigate the technological landscape of the 21st century, the emergence of Virtual Tourism (VT) stands as a groundbreaking paradigm in the tourism industry. This article delves into the conceptualization of VT as a distinct type of tourism, addressing prevailing doubts surrounding its technological advancements. Drawing from the varied understanding of VT, ranging from 360-degree videos to immersive Virtual Reality (VR) experiences, we propose the development of a fully immersive multi-sensory setup. This setup aims to engage and stimulate as many human senses as possible to create a close-to-realistic VT encounter.

The proposed multi-sensory setup includes the utilization of Head-Mounted Displays (HMDs) for visual and auditory immersion, sensors for smell and taste, gloves embedded with sensors for touch, and temperature-controlled rooms for climate simulation. While the initial investment in such technology may seem substantial, the potential user base for an almost realistic VT experience could outweigh the costs. To validate these propositions, we advocate for an experiment involving a diverse and extensive participant sample. This experiment should encompass individuals from different demographics, health conditions, and perspectives to comprehensively evaluate the acceptance and effectiveness of the multi-sensory VT setup.

Keywords: *Virtual tourism, Virtual reality, Multi-sensory experience, Technological advancement*
JEL: *O33, L83*

Summary

This paper explores the technological evolution of Virtual Reality (VR) and its integration into the tourism industry, emphasizing Virtual Tourism (VT) as a novel form of travel. The research draws on a systematic review of 185 articles from the Web of Science (WoS) database, narrowing the focus to 27 relevant publications that address technological applications in VR for tourism. While VT is still largely underutilized, primarily seen as a marketing tool, advancements in Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCI), multi-sensory systems, and immersive hardware signal promising developments. VT offers the potential for fully immersive, multi-sensory experiences by engaging sight, hearing, touch, smell, and even temperature. This approach can bridge the authenticity gap in traditional tourism, catering to diverse audiences, including those with mobility or financial limitations. However, adopting such cutting-edge technology raises ethical considerations, including privacy concerns and the need for informed consent. The study proposes that investments in VR infrastructure, multi-sensory integration, and user-centered design are crucial for enhancing tourist experiences and expanding market reach. Ethical guidelines and long-term sustainability must also be addressed to ensure responsible use of VR technologies in tourism. Ultimately, VR promises to transform the tourism industry by providing immersive, inclusive, and ethically conscious virtual experiences.

Introduction

As humanity navigates the new millennium, the pervasive influence of technology on daily life has become increasingly pronounced, especially in the wake of the Fourth Industrial Revolution (Industry 4.0) (Bai, et al., 2020). This transformative era is characterized by embedded connectivity, giving rise to a metaverse that permeates society and fundamentally alters the human experience of the world. In essence, it propounds the notion that contemporary individuals are not merely beholden to their natural senses and industrial capabilities but are instead entering an era of augmented social reality (Philbeck & Davis, 2018).

In the year 2024, personal computers and smartphones seamlessly integrate into the fabric of everyday existence. Notably, virtual reality (VR) has emerged as a focal point of technological advancement, evolving on a grand scale. VR is a completely synthetic, computer-simulated environment that mimics the real world and allows users to feel as though they are present in a real-world environment with no physical or geographic boundaries and barriers, where one can navigate and possibly interact with a virtual world, resulting in the real-time simulation of one or more of the user's five senses. Its applications span various industries, with the tourism sector being no exception. VR has been embraced as an immersion tool, offering unprecedented ways to enhance the travel experience. The usage of a variety of interactive devices such as helmets, data gloves, or sensory feedback devices is significant for meeting the needs of the scene and tasks and controlling the environment (Tatzgern, et al., 2015).

Despite its use in several industries as an immersion tool (Kavanagh, et al., 2017; Damiani, et al., 2018), the integration of VR in the tourism sphere remains an occasional phenomenon, currently manifesting primarily as a tool employed for marketing, education, and strategic planning purposes.

The advent of contemporary technologies has marked a new phase in digitization, facilitated by the seamless integration of 3D scanning and modeling techniques. This integration enables interactive three-dimensional animation and dynamic simulation, showcasing advancements in accuracy, quality, and the ability to faithfully recreate a wide range of objects (Choi, et al., 2015; Botella, et al., 2017; Loureiro, et al., 2020). However, the effectiveness of digitizing cultural and architectural sites to create a compelling VR experience is intricately linked to practical considerations such as time constraints, budget allocations, and the availability of skilled personnel.

The industry that can gradually benefit from the advance of VR technologies is the tourism industry by creating a separate type of tourism – Virtual Tourism (VT) (Polishchuk, et al., 2023). VT is described as a process that immerses individuals in a VE replicating tourist destinations. As VT does not allow physical contact, a precise and realistic image is essential to provide relevant information and help the user form a mental image (Iachini, et al., 2019). The virtualization process, exemplified by the recreation of historical artifacts, spans a spectrum from swift tasks completed within a few hours to more intricate endeavors contingent on the complexity of the objects and other contextual limitations. The resulting virtual experiences can range from the simplicity of placing users in a 3D environment to interact with a single historic object – an artifact observed from every conceivable angle – to the complexity of crafting densely populated scenes replete with various interactive elements.

In the realm of VR tourism products, immersive VR aspires to create a compelling environment for users, engaging all five senses within the VR space for a natural and interactive experience. It employs various output and input devices to facilitate interaction following the principles of movement, prioritizing the quality of the virtual simulation. However, this type of equipment presents significant challenges due to its high requirements, experimental nature, and limited feasibility for widespread adoption until advancements are made in terms of cost-effectiveness and portability of related equipment (Talafubieke, et al., 2021). For an effective VT experience, it should have the capability to fully immerse tourists in a VE by stimulating all human senses.

However, despite early enthusiasm, challenges arose as false advertisements and exaggerated promises about VR's capabilities left users dissatisfied. This potential negative perception prompted the adoption of the term "virtual environment" (VE) by some authors, introducing a semantic distinction that sought to address perceived differences between VR and VE. Scholars continue to debate whether these terms are interchangeable or carry nuanced distinctions. While they emerged almost simultaneously and essentially mean the same thing, the slight variations in origin and usage have prompted ongoing discourse among researchers. For the sake of clarity, in current research, both VR and VE are treated as interchangeable.

Literature Review

History of VR

VR is a technology that creates an immersive three-dimensional (3D) simulated environment, providing users with the sensation of being present in a real-world setting, whether it replicates existing places and events or introduces entirely new ones (Guttentag, 2010).

Coined by Jaron Lanier in 1987, the roots of VR can be traced back to filmmaker Morton Heilig's pioneering work in 1957 with "Sensorama." (Virtual Reality Society, 2017). Heilig's creation was a booth designed to envelop individuals in the illusion of an alternate reality, complete with associated sounds, smells, and tactile sensations like wind and vibrations (Heilig, 1984; Dormehl, 2017). Initially embraced for entertainment purposes, Sensorama gained popularity, yet the commercial viability of VR eluded business minds at the time, leading to a temporary slowdown in its development (Laurel, 2013).

Picture 1: Morton Heilig's "Sensorama"
Source: (HistoryofInformation.com, 2024)

Ivan Sutherland's creation of the first head motion device in 1968 marked a significant milestone (Carmigniani & Furht, 2011), and researchers at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1970 pioneered the development of the first interactive map (Naimark, 1978). The 2000s witnessed a surge in VR's popularity, especially within the gaming realm. A plethora of gadgets, including head-motion devices, joysticks, and controllers (Terando, et al., 2007), were designed to enhance the gaming experience, enabling users not only to observe but also to interact with the VEs presented to them.

Yoon, S.Y. et al. (2008) emphasized the pivotal role of the Internet in propelling the development of VR, particularly following the emergence of interactive 3D graphics with the Virtual Reality Modeling Language (VRML) in 1994 (Yoon, et al., 2008). This development facilitated the widespread adoption of web-based VR for interactive simulations. Subsequently, various companies ventured into the development of technologies and gadgets, leading to the creation of gaming devices such as "Lawnmower Man," "Nintendo Virtual Boy," and "Sega VR headset" (Mennecke, et al., 2007).

In 1993, Sega, a video game company, unveiled a prototype of a headset designed as an accessory for their Genesis console. Despite its smaller size compared to previous models, Sega opted not to release it for sale. The official explanation cited concerns that the realistic experience provided by the headset could potentially cause physical harm to users due to the motions involved in gaming (Guttentag, 2010; VRS, 2017).

In the 21st century, the advent of new gadgets such as head-motion devices, joysticks, and controllers (Mennecke, et al., 2007) enabled users not only to observe VE, but also to interact with it. VE went beyond offering a 3D image viewed from different angles; they replicated normal human vision by providing a separate view for each eye, creating a stereoscopic vision effect, and enhancing the immersive experience (Vince, 2004).

During the 2010s, VR technologies gained immense popularity, particularly among gamers. Various companies, including "Owlchemy Labs," "Luden.io," "Force Field," and others, released different headset variations (Gupta, 2020). However, the groundbreaking prototype specifically designed for gaming, named "Oculus Rift," was created by Palmer Luckey in 2010 (Luckey, 2012). Notably, several game industry specialists, such as Bleszinski C. (design director of "Epic Games"), Helgason D. (CEO and co-founder of "Unity"), and McCauley J. (founder of “Risk of Rain 3”, lead engineer of "Guitar Hero"), responded positively after trying it (Meta, 2022). The Oculus Rift represented an innovative gadget that significantly improved upon the capabilities of previous headsets. For instance, it increased the field of view from 40 degrees to 110 degrees, and integrated speakers enhanced the immersive VR experience (Meta, 2022). To interact with VR during gaming, users typically employ a game controller. However, "Oculus" introduced a uniquely shaped "touch controller" that is wireless and, with its comfortable button placement, allows users to play more naturally (Parrish, 2016).

Picture 2: Oculus Meta Quest 2
Source: Source: (Meta, 2022).

Picture 1 and Picture 2 vividly illustrate the evolution of VR devices from the 20th to the 21st century. The devices have undergone significant transformations, becoming smaller, portable, and more user-friendly over time.

In the realm of VR, particularly when viewed through HMD, a departure from traditional camera limitations becomes evident. Unlike conventional photography where scenes are captured by real cameras, what unfolds on the HMD is meticulously crafted by skilled 3D artists. This affords the unique advantage of surpassing the minimum distance perceptible by human eyes or a conventional camera. However, the digitization process is not universally automatable. There are instances where manual intervention is imperative, necessitating the virtual reconstruction of specific parts or entire objects. This meticulous approach strives to maintain a faithful resemblance to the real-world counterpart (Esmaeili, et al., 2017).

VR as a base of VT

As a base of VT, VR can contribute gradually to its immersive abilities. Scholars propose a classification of VR, which includes three types (Isdale, et al., 2002; Sultan, 2022):

1. <u>Non-immersive VR.</u> It provides a virtual experience facilitated through a computer and allows users to engage in activities within the software, however, the environment itself does not directly interact with the users, they stay aware of and keep control of their physical surroundings. This form of VR extends beyond traditional desktop computers and has become accessible on laptops and video game consoles. Therefore, displays, keyboards, mice, and controllers are used during non-immersive virtual experiences. The application of non-immersive VR is the widest compared to other types of VR because of its low cost and easy access (Rao & Krantz, 2020).
2. <u>Semi-immersive VR.</u> It represents a convergence of non-immersive and fully immersive types of VR, offering users a blend of interactive engagement within VE. In this hybrid form, all virtual activities are directed toward the user, providing a personalized experience, yet physical movements are limited to visual interactions. It can manifest as a 3D space or virtual setting where users navigate independently, utilizing either a computer screen or a VR box/headset. Wearing a VR box/headset results in a complete immersion within the VE, excluding visibility of the real world creating a heightened sense of realism (Ahn, et al., 2014). Notably, semi-immersive VR stands out as a cost-effective and widely adopted form of VR. One of its prominent applications is in virtual tours, a popular choice for businesses across various sectors. These virtual tours can be device-based or web-based, offering an interactive and engaging experience.
3. <u>Fully immersive VR.</u> It promises a realistic and impactful experience within VE, providing users with a profound sense of presence and a feeling that events are unfolding genuinely. This sophisticated form of VR involves specialized equipment such as helmets, gloves, and body connectors equipped with sensors. These components are intricately connected to a powerful computer, allowing for the detection and projection of users' movements, reactions, and even subtle gestures within the virtual world. The immersive nature of this technology creates the sensation of physically existing within the VE. While the potential for fully immersive VR is vast, its widespread adoption is currently limited due to its high cost and the intricate nature of the equipment involved. Nonetheless, as technology advances and applications diversify, there is optimism that fully immersive VR will continue to evolve, contributing to advancements in various fields and potentially improving lives in unforeseen ways (Heizenrader, 2019; Beck, et al., 2019).

At the same time Gutierrez, M. et al. (2008) suggested that VR involves two essential aspects:

1. Physical Immersion entails immersing participants in VE, stimulating one or more of their senses: sight, hearing, taste, smell, and touch. The goal is to deliver a multisensory experience that closely resembles real-world interactions.
2. Physical Presence refers to the ability to make users behave in VE as they would in the real world, achieving seamless integration and fostering a sense of realism and engagement (Gutierrez, et al., 2008).

To achieve the highest levels of physical immersion and physical presence during the VT experience, it is necessary to use the fully immersive type of VR. Recognizing the imperative for an enriched VT experience, the incorporation of additional gadgets plays a pivotal role. Early discussions in the field proposed the integration of three senses – sight, hearing, and taste – to emulate an authentic experience (Mura & Lovelock, 2009; Loureiro, et al., 2020). However, as the discourse evolved, scholars advocated for an expanded sensory spectrum, encompassing smell and touch (Mura, et al., 2016). The adoption of a multi-sensorial approach, facilitated by specialized gadgets, emerges as a crucial element in VT. The collective objective of these gadgets is to engage diverse senses, fostering an immersive VE that imparts the sensation of being present in a real-world location (Slater & Usoh, 1993; Witmer & Singer, 1998; Banos, et al., 2005; Cummings & Bailenson, 2016). Simultaneously, the choice of equipment plays a crucial role in achieving the most immersive experience. Some authors in a laboratory experiment demonstrated the superiority of HMD over PC and mobile phones. The HMD not only provided a more immersive experience but also resulted in higher sensory encouragement, increased engagement, and greater social intentions toward the destination (Flavián, et al., 2019). The goal extends beyond catering to audiovisual senses alone; it ultimately aims to provide a complete sensory immersion that seamlessly integrates interaction, immersion, and artistic conception (Kaptelinin & Nardi, 2006; Jung, et al., 2016; Calogiuri, et al., 2018):

- Vision. Vision is a paramount sense in VR, constituting over 80% of human message reception (Rosenblum, 2011). Various head-motion devices, ranging from simple Google Cardboard to advanced glasses with voice recognition and headphones, cater to different user preferences and technical requirements (Google Cardboard, n.d.; Greenwald, 2022). Technical challenges may arise from lens quality, display resolution, frame rate, and individual variations in users' vision characteristics, such as side vision, near-sightedness, farsightedness, or colour blindness, impacting the immersive experience and, consequently, VT.
- Hearing. Sound design is crucial in VT for recreating authentic auditory experiences, whether it be the sounds of a bustling city or the tranquility of a natural landscape. Soundtracks should encompass main sounds and background noises for a natural feel. However, challenges emerge in creating universally realistic sounds due to variations in external environments, reverberation, and unique anatomical perceptions of sound by individual users (Burdea & Coiffet, 2003; Vince, 2004; Gutierrez, et al., 2008).
- Smell. Olfactory elements in virtual tours aim to include appropriate smells corresponding to the visited location, enhancing the immersive experience. Early attempts like "Smell-O-Vision" faced challenges in timing and intensity management. Olfactory displays in the 2000s utilized scents in specific areas but had limitations, including the need to remove scents before introducing others. VR's olfactory capabilities serve two purposes: recreating authentic scents for immersive experiences and eliminating unpleasant odors within destinations (Gutierrez, et al., 2008; Turi, 2014).

- Taste. Taste sensations in VR were explored with the "Food Simulator" in the early 2000s, injecting liquids to simulate tastes. While taste is crucial in gastro-tourism, VR currently has limitations in competing effectively in this domain (Iwata, et al., 2004).
- Touch. Tactile sensations in VR have evolved from early vibrations in the "Sensorama" to sensors in clothing simulating object weight. 3D cinema effects like blowing fans and water splashes enhance tactile perception. Invasive VR technologies now offer a broader palette of tactile sensations, allowing users to feel different textures during VT, expanding the range of experiences (Vince, 2004; Gutierrez, et al., 2008).

Additionally, in the realm of immersive VT, the temperature experienced during the virtual encounter emerges as a crucial aspect, recognizing the significance of temperature as a pivotal tourism resource (Clements-Croome, 2013). Studies underscore that environmental temperature plays a vital role in influencing tourists' thermal comfort (Schellen, et al., 2013). There is a notable contrast in the perceived thermal comfort of the human body between an active state, such as exercise, and a sedentary state, like quiet sitting (Ji, et al., 2015). It is essential to highlight that when the heat generated by the human body equals the heat dissipated, the body achieves a thermally comfortable state. Consequently, scholars delve into understanding tourist perceptions and behaviours through microclimate simulations specific to tourist attractions (Galagoda, et al., 2018).

However, there is no unanimous agreement among authors regarding whether technological advancements have reached a point where VT can seamlessly integrate all available gadgets for a comprehensive experience. The current technological landscape falls short of providing users with full sensory stimulation in VE, leading to the perception that VT is 'less authentic' compared to physical mobility. The inability to 'feel,' 'smell,' and 'taste' within the virtual experience contributes to this perceived authenticity gap (Mura, et al., 2016).

The quality of the VT experience is intricately tied to the adept use of modern technologies that enable the recreation of scenery in an animated and interactive manner (Wong, et al., 2022). As VT relies on technological improvisation, the product's quality plays a pivotal role in capturing the essence of the destination.

VR technological advancement

The evolution of VR is swiftly progressing towards greater integration with the human body, aiming to eliminate the need for headsets and controllers. The emerging trend emphasizes a more sensory-oriented VR experience, facilitated by groundbreaking innovations like Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCI). This transformative technology enables VR devices to interpret brain responses using Electroencephalography (EEG) technology, allowing applications to adapt, or be controlled by brain wave activities.

In the envisioned future, VR experiences will not only be immersive but also interactive and imaginative, influencing senses that were less affected by traditional VR, such as smell and touch (Li, et al., 2017). The implementation of BCIs can be realized through both invasive and non-invasive technologies. For instance, non-invasive approaches include experiments, where students navigated a virtual street using their brain signals (Pfurtscheller, et al., 2006). Invasive methods involve the implantation of sensors into the human brain to enhance tourists' immersion in VE, coupled with wearable sensors on clothing to intensify sensation and perception (Udovicic, et al., 2016).

While these advanced measures promise a more enriched VR experience, ethical concerns arise (Glannon, 2016). Addressing these considerations can be achieved through the implementation of participant agreements, ensuring informed consent and ethical use of such technologies. Start-ups like Neurable have already introduced examples of agreements in the market, paving the way for the responsible integration of cutting-edge technologies into the realm of VR (Gera, 2018). Also, recent discussions surrounding advanced technologies have been amplified with Neuralink's milestone of implanting its first microchip in the human brain (Guarno, 2024). Initially designed to enhance cognitive abilities and tackle neurological conditions, the implications of such technology extend beyond current applications. There is a prospect for broader utilization, potentially impacting the field of VT as these technological advancements progress.

Systematic review

Based on the results of the WoS database 185 articles embracing VT was conducted by the author. After singling out the articles that focus on technological aspects of VR usage in VT by removing non-related articles, it was decided to remove articles without access from the review as well.

Some authors consider VT as a tourism experience within virtual worlds within the online platforms like “Second Life” (SL), “OpenSim”, “Croquet Consortium”, “ActiveWorlds”, “Project Wonderland”, etc. (4 publications) (Tavakoli & Mura, 2015; Du, et al., 2019; Gursoy, et al., 2022; Prodinger & Neuhofer, 2023). However, such experience does not provide fully immersive virtual experience since it does not affect multiple senses of the user.

Some researchers consider the application of AR as a base of VT instead of VR (5 publications) (Kaplan, 2013; Yung & Khoo-Lattimore, 2019; Huang & Liu, 2021; Huang, et al., 2023; Zaifri, et al., 2023) However, AR-based technologies do not provide the level of immersiveness as VR does.

Articles featuring usage of drones for VT suggest using it for so-called “online tourism”, “drone tourism”, and “live VT”, when people can watch translated or recorded footage from the bird eye point of view (3 publications) (Song & Ko, 2017; Nguyen, et al., 2019; Ilkhanizadeh, et al., 2020). This type of tourism highly influences tourism economic performance (Gyurkó *et al,* 2024).

Most of the technological articles cover the topic of computer programs usage for 3D dimensional image reconstruction (13 publications) (Styliadis, et al., 2009; Catalano, et al., 2011; Esmaeili, et al., 2017; Qian, et al., 2019; Suksawasdi, 2022; Su & Chen, 2022). The authors proposed a usage of different systems and tools for creating a virtual attraction, however, only three of them suggested to use it for VT (Li, et al., 2016; Yue & Fang, 2017; Wu & Zhou, 2023), others mostly focused on marketing and planning application (Yiakoumettis, et al., 2014; Luo, et al., 2018; Caciora, et al., 2021; Zhenrao, et al., 2021).

Some authors focus on special gadgets and systems for VR, including those for tourism purposes (2 publications) (Homocianu & Airinei, 2017; De, 2022).

Despite the various papers about VR applications in tourism there was only one paper describing the detailed algorithm of creating a VT, however, even this study suggests using it as a marketing tool, and not as a separated type of tourism (Yue & Fang, 2017).

Materials and Methods

The research methodology employed in this study primarily revolves around an extensive analysis of existing literature, with a specific focus on the application of VR technologies in the tourism sector.

The secondary data utilized for this investigation was predominantly sourced from the WoS database, encompassing a comprehensive array of scholarly articles, reviews, and research papers. The systematic review was conducted based on the database retrieved from WoS. Out of 185 publications 158 were removed since they did not represent the technological aspects of VR application in tourism. The reviewed sample included articles that feature using drones (3 publications) and avatars (4 publications) as a tool for VT, those focused on AR applications in tourism (5 publications), and those focuses on technological aspects of VR (13 publications) and special systems and gadgets, that is possible to use in VT.

The data collected from the literature review serves as the foundation for a nuanced understanding of VR's trajectory in the tourism industry.

The main objective of this paper is to elucidate the technological evolution of VR, delineate its historical progression, and provide insights into its current applications within the realm of tourism.

The outcome of this research is anticipated to contribute valuable insights into the technological advancements of VR and its application in the tourism domain.

This research methodology ensures a comprehensive exploration of the literature landscape, enabling a well-informed analysis and synthesis of data to underpin the subsequent recommendations for the advancement of VT.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the transformative era of the Industry 4.0 has ushered in a paradigm shift, where the metaverse intertwines with everyday life, redefining human experiences. The integration of VR within this landscape, especially in the tourism sector, holds significant promise. Despite its potential, the use of VR in tourism remains largely sporadic, mainly employed for marketing, education, and strategic planning.

The evolution of VR, from its inception by Jaron Lanier in 1987 to the contemporary Oculus Meta Quest 2, illustrates a journey of technological advancements. The advent of fully immersive VR, marked by sophisticated headsets, gloves, and body connectors, enables a profound sense of presence in VE. Physical immersion and presence are pivotal aspects that enhance the authenticity of the VT experience.

VT, as a unique form of tourism, seeks to offer an alternative to physical travel by immersing individuals in VE replicating tourist destinations. To achieve a comprehensive VT experience, a multi-sensory approach is crucial. Vision, hearing, smell, taste, and touch need to be engaged to simulate an authentic encounter with the destination. This involves the use of HMDs for visual and auditory stimuli, sensors for smell and taste, gloves for touch, and temperature-controlled rooms for climate simulation.

While the theoretical setup for such immersive VT may seem initially costly, the potential user base could justify the investment. The experiment proposed to validate these concepts emphasizes the need for a diverse sample representing various demographics, health conditions, and perspectives. This approach is essential for understanding the acceptance and effectiveness of the multisensory VT setup.

In essence, the convergence of technology and tourism opens new possibilities for VT, challenging traditional notions of travel. As VT endeavors to bridge the authenticity gap, embracing a multisensory approach, the future holds promise for a more inclusive, immersive, and ethically conscious virtual tourism experience.

Implications and Recommendations

The integration of VR into the tourism industry presents significant implications for both the sector and the broader technological landscape:

- Enhanced Tourist Experiences: VR offers the potential to revolutionize the way tourists engage with destinations, providing immersive, multi-sensory experiences that rival physical travel.
- Expanded Market Reach: VT can appeal to a diverse audience, including individuals with mobility limitations, financial constraints, or environmental concerns.
- Marketing and Strategic Planning Tool: VR serves as a powerful marketing and strategic planning tool for destinations, allowing them to showcase their attractions in captivating ways.
- Technological Advancement: The integration of VR in tourism drives technological innovation, spurring advancements in VR hardware, software, and content creation tools.
- Ethical Considerations: The adoption of VR in tourism raises ethical considerations related to data privacy, consent, and the responsible use of immersive technologies.

To maximize the potential of VR in tourism and address existing challenges, the following recommendations are proposed:

- Investment in VR Infrastructure: Tourism stakeholders should invest in VR infrastructure, including hardware, software, and content creation tools, to develop high-quality virtual experiences.
- User-Centered Design: VR experiences should be designed with a user-centered approach, considering the preferences, needs, and limitations of diverse user groups.
- Multi-Sensory Integration: Future research should explore innovative techniques for integrating multi-sensory stimuli into VR experiences.
- Evaluation and Validation: Rigorous evaluation and validation studies are needed to assess the effectiveness, usability, and impact of VR tourism experiences. Researchers should employ mixed methods approaches, including surveys, interviews, and behavioral observations, to evaluate user satisfaction, engagement, and behavioral intentions.
- Ethical Guidelines and Regulations: Industry associations, policymakers, and academia should collaborate to establish ethical guidelines and regulations for VR in tourism. These guidelines should address issues such as data privacy, informed consent, content moderation, and digital rights management to ensure responsible and ethical use of VR technologies.
- Long-Term Sustainability: Tourism stakeholders should consider the long-term sustainability of VR initiatives, including environmental, social, and economic impacts. Strategies for reducing carbon emissions, promoting digital inclusion, and supporting local communities should be integrated into VR tourism development plans.

By embracing these recommendations, tourism stakeholders can harness the transformative potential of VR to create immersive, engaging, and ethical VT experiences that benefit both tourists and destinations alike.

References

Ahn, S. J., Bailenson, J. & Park, D., 2014. Short- and long-term effects of embodied experiences in immersive virtual environments on environmental locus of control and behavior. *Computers in Human Behavior,* Volume 39, pp. 235-245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.07.025

Bai, C., Dallasega, P., Orzes, G. & Sarkis, J., 2020. Industry 4.0 technologies assessment: A sustainability perspective. *International Journal of Production Economics,* November.Volume 229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107776

Banos, R. M. et al., 2005. Immersion and Emotion: Their Impact on the Sense of Presence. *Cyberpsychology & behavior: the impact of the Internet, multimedia and virtual reality on behavior and society,* 7(6), pp. 734-741. https://doi.org/10.1089/cpb.2004.7.734

Beck, J., Rainoldi, M. & Egger, R., 2019. Virtual Reality in Tourism: A State-of-the-Art Review. *Tourism Review,* Volume 74, p. 586–612. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/TR-03-2017-0049

Botella, C. et al., 2017. Recent progress in virtual reality exposure therapy for phobias: a systematic review. *Current Psychiatry Reports,* 19(42). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-017-0788-4

Bujdosó, Z.; Szűcs, Cs (2012): A new way of gastronomic tourism:beer tourism. *Acta Turistica* 6 (1), 5-20.

Burdea, G. & Coiffet, P., 2003. *Virtual reality technology.* 2nd ed. Hoboken: Wiley Interscience.

Caciora, T. et al., 2021. The Use of Virtual Reality to Promote Sustainable Tourism: A Case Study of Wooden Churches Historical Monuments from Romania. *Remote Sense,* 13(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13091758

Calogiuri, G. et al., 2018. Experiencing nature through immersive virtual environments: environmental perceptions, physical engagement, and affective responses during a simulated nature walk. *Frontiers of Psychology,* Volume 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.02321

Carmigniani, J. & Furht, B., 2011. Augmented Reality: An Overview. In: *Handbook of Augmented Reality.* Florida: s.n., pp. 3-46.

Catalano, C. E., Mortara, M., Spagnuolo, M. & B. Falcidieno, B., 2011. Semantics and 3D media: Current issues and perspectives. *Computers & Graphics,* 35(4), pp. 869-877. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cag.2011.03.040

Choi, S., Jung, K. & Noh, S. D., 2015. Virtual reality applications in manufacturing industries: Past research, present findings, and future directions. *Concurrent Engineering,* 23(1), pp. 40-63. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1063293X14568814

Clements-Croome, D., 2013. Sustainable Healthy Intelligent Buildings for People. In: D. Clements-Croome, ed. *Intelligent Buildings.* London: ICE Publishing, pp. 1-24.

Cummings, J. J. & Bailenson, J. N., 2016. How Immersive Is Enough? A Meta-Analysis of the Effect of Immersive Technology on User Presence. *Media Psychology,* 19(2), pp. 272-309. https://doi.org/10.1080/15213269.2015.1015740

Damiani, L. et al., 2018. Augmented and Virtual Reality Applica-tions in Industrial Systems R.: A Qualitative Review towards the Industry 4.0 Era.. *IFAC-PapersOnLine,* 51(11), p. 624–630. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2018.08.388

De, D., 2022. FedLens: federated learning-based privacy-preserving mobile crowdsensing for virtual tourism. *Innovations in Systems and Software Engineering* .

Dormehl, L., 2017. *8 virtual reality milestones that took it from sci-fi to your living room.* [Online] Available at: https://www.digitaltrends.com/cool-tech/history-of-virtual-reality/ [Accessed 10 November 2021].

Du, R., Li, D. & Varshney, A., 2019. *Project Geollery.com: Reconstructing A Live Mirrored World With Geotagged Social Media.* s.l., ACM Digital Library, pp. 1-9.

Esmaeili, H., Thwaites, H. & Woods, P. C., 2017. *Workflows and Challenges Involved in Creation of Realistic Immersive Virtual Museum, Heritage, and Tourism Experiences.* s.l., IEEE, pp. 465-472.

Esmaeili, H., Thwaites, H. & Woods, P. C., 2017. *Workflows and Challenges Involved in Creation of Realistic Immersive Virtual Museum, Heritage, and Tourism Experiences A Comprehensive Reference for 3D Asset Capturing.* Jaipur, IEEE, pp. 465-472.
Flavián, C., Ibáñez-Sánchez, S. & Orús, C., 2019. Integrating virtual reality devices into the body: Effects of technological embodiment on customer engagement and behavioral intentions toward the destination. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing,* 36(7), pp. 847-863. https://doi.org/10.1080/10548408.2019.1618781
Galagoda, R. U., Jayasinghe, G. Y., Halwatura, R. U. & Rupasinghe, H. T., 2018. The impact of urban green infrastructure as a sustainable approach towards tropical micro-climatic changes and human thermal comfort. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening,* Volume 34, pp. 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2018.05.008
Gera, E., 2018. *The Neuroscience of Mind-Control Gaming.* [Online] Available at: https://variety.com/2018/gaming/features/brain-computer-interface-neurable-1203036143/ [Accessed 29 April 2021].
Glannon, W., 2016. Ethical issues in neuroprosthetics. *Journal of Neural Engineering,* pp. 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-2560/13/2/021002
Google Cardboard, n.d. *Cardboard.* [Online] Available at: https://arvr.google.com/cardboard/?msclkid=0fb30f01cfa011ec907d45a34612baee [Accessed 10 May 2022].
Greenwald, W., 2022. *PC.* [Online] Available at: https://www.pcmag.com/picks/the-best-vr-headsets?msclkid=67179c5ccfa111ec8d5fa8ee57ece9ea [Accessed 5 May 2022].
Guarno, B., 2024. *Elon Musk's Neuralink Has Implanted Its First Chip in a Human Brain. What's Next?.* [Online] Available at: https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/elon-musks-neuralink-has-implanted-its-first-chip-in-a-human-brain-whats-next/ [Accessed 6 February 2024].
Gupta, K., 2020. *The 8 Biggest VR Gaming Companies Worth Tracking.* [Online] Available at: https://www.affinityvr.com/the-8-biggest-vr-gaming-companies/ [Accessed 1 May 2022].
Gursoy, D., Malodia, S. & Dhir, A., 2022. The metaverse in the hospitality and tourism industry: an overview of current trends and future research directions. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management,* 31(5). https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2022.2072504
Gutierrez, M., Vexo, F. & Thalmann, D., 2008. Stepping into virtual reality. *Springer.* https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-84800-117-6
Guttentag, D., 2010. Virtual reality: Applications and implications for tourism. *Tourism Management,* Volume 31, pp. 637-651. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2009.07.003
Gyurkó, Á., Zoltán Bujdosó, Al Fauzi Rahmat, Lóránt Dénes Dávid (2024): Characterisa-tion of Hungary's Regional Tourism and Economic Performance between 2004 and 2022 in the Light of EU Funding. *Geographica Pannonica* 28(1): 20-33. https://doi.org/10.5937/gp28-48906
Heilig, M., 1984. *Morton Heilig's Sensorama (Interview).mov* [Interview] 1984.
Heizenrader, 2019. *The 3 Types of Virtual Reality.* [Online] Available at: https://heizenrader.com/the-3-types-of-virtual-reality/#:~:text=Non%2Dimmersive%20virtual%20reality%20systems,a%20non%2Dimmersive%20VR%20experience [Accessed 3 January 2024].
HistoryofInformation.com, 2024. *The Sensorama: One of the First Functioning Efforts in Virtual Reality.* [Online] Available at: https://historyofinformation.com/detail.php?id=2785 [Accessed 2 February 2022].
Homocianu, D. & Airinei, D., 2017. *Improving a Cloud Based Generator of Portable, Auto-Guided and Interactive Virtual Routes.* s.l., s.n.
Huang, T.-L. & Liu, B. S., 2021. Augmented reality is human-like: How the humanizing experience inspires destination brand love. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change,* Volume 170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.120853

Huang, T.-L., Tsiotsou, R. H. & Liu, B. S., 2023. Delineating the role of mood maintenance in augmenting reality (AR) service experiences: An application in tourism. Volume 189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122385

Iachini, T. et al., 2019. The experience of virtual reality: Are individual differences in mental imagery associated with sense of presence?. *Cognitive Pressing,* Volume 20, pp. 291-298. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10339-018-0897-y

Ilkhanizadeh, S., Golabi, M., Hesami, S. & Rjoub, H., 2020. The Potential Use of Drones for Tourism in Crises: A Facility Location Analysis Perspective. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management,* 13(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm13100246

Isdale, J., Fencot, C., Heim, M. & Daly, L., 2002. *Content Design for Virtual Environments. In Handbook for Virtual Environments: Design, Implementation, and Applications.* Stanney, K. M. ed. Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates:.

Iwata, H., Yano, H., Uemura, T. & Moriya, T., 2004. *Food simulator: A haptic interface for biting.* s.l., IEEE, pp. 51-57. https://doi.org/10.1109/VR.2004.1310055

Ji, T. et al., 2015. Simulation and experimental study on the influence of environmental parameters on human thermal comfort in sports. *China Sport Science,* Volume 35, pp. 67-72.

Jung, T., Dieck, M. C. T., Lee, H. & Chung, N., 2016. *Effects of virtual reality and augmented reality on visitor experiences in museum.* Cham, Springer. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-28231-2_45

Kaplan, L., 2013. Mapping Ararat: Augmented Reality, Virtual Tourism, and Grand Island's Jewish Ghosts. *The New Centennial Review,* 13(2), pp. 239-264. https://doi.org/10.1353/ncr.2013.0018

Kaptelinin, V. & Nardi, B. A., 2006. Acting with Technology: Activity Theory and Interaction Design. *First Monday,* 12(4). http://dx.doi.org/10.5210/fm.v12i4.1772

Kavanagh, S., Luxton-Reilly, A., Wuensche, B. & Plimmer, B., 2017. A Systematic Review of Virtual Reality in Education. *Themes in Science and Technology Education,* 10(2), pp. 85-119.

Laurel, B., 2013. *Computers as Theatre (2nd Edition).* s.l.:Addison-Wesley Professional.

Li, S. et al., 2017. *Brain-based computer interfaces in virtual reality.* New York, IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/CSCloud.2017.51

Li, Y. et al., 2016. Extraction and Simplification of Building Façade Pieces from Mobile Laser Scanner Point Clouds for 3D Street View Services. *International Journal of Geo-Information,* 5(213). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi5120231

Loureiro, S. M. C., Guerreiro, J. & Ali, F., 2020. 20 years of research on virtual reality and augmented reality in tourism context: A text-mining approach. *Tourism Management,* Volume 77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2019.104028

Luckey, P., 2012. *Exclusive interview with Palmer Luckey, creator of the Oculus Rift* [Interview] (28 August 2012).

Luo, L. et al., 2018. Google Earth as a Powerful Tool for Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Applications: A Review. *Remote Sensing,* 10(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10101558

Mennecke, B. E., Terando, W. D., Janvrin, D. .. & Dilla, W. N., 2007. It's just a game, or is it? Real money, real income, and real taxes in virtual worlds. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems,* pp. 134-141. http://dx.doi.org/10.17705/1CAIS.02015

Meta, 2022. *Meta Quest 2.* [Online] Available at: https://store.facebook.com/quest/products/quest-2 [Accessed 1 May 2022].

Mura, P. & Lovelock, B., 2009. A not so Little Italy? Tourist and Resident Perceptions of Authenticity in Leichhardt, Sydney. *Tourism Culture & Communication,* 9(1), pp. 29-48. http://dx.doi.org/10.3727/109830409787556620

Mura, P., Tavakoli, R. & Sharif, S., 2016. 'Authentic but not too much': exploring perceptions of authenticity of virtual tourism. *Information Technology & Tourism ,* Volume 17, pp. 145-159. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40558-016-0059-y

Naimark, M., 1978. *Aspen Moviemap.* [Online] Available at: http://www.naimark.net/projects/aspen.html [Accessed 10 October 2021].

Nguyen, V. T., Jung, K. & Dang, T., 2019. *DroneVR: A Web Virtual Reality Simulator for Drone Operator*. San-Diego, IEEE, pp. 257-262. https://doi.org/10.1109/AIVR46125.2019.00060
Parrish, K., 2016. *Oculus Touch is now available – here's what you should know before you buy.* [Online] Available at: https://www.digitaltrends.com/computing/oculus-touch-controller-price-release-date-game-com [Accessed 30 April 2022].
Pfurtscheller, G., Brunner, C., Schlögl, A. & Lopes da Silva, F., 2006. Mu rhythm (de)synchronization and EEG single-trial classification of different motor imagery tasks. *Neuroimage,* 31(1), pp. 153-159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.12.003
Philbeck, T. & Davis, N., 2018. The Fourth Industrial Revolution. *Journal of International Affairs Editorial Board,* Volume 72, pp. 17-22.
Polishchuk, E. et al., 2023. The Theoretical Background of Virtual Reality and Its Implications for the Tourism Industry. *Sustainability,* 15(13). https://doi.org/10.3390/su151310534
Prodinger, B. & Neuhofer, B., 2023. *Never-Ending Tourism: Tourism Experience Scenarios for 2030.* Johannesburg, Springer, pp. 288-299. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-25752-0_31
Qian, S. J., Chang, Z. Q., Liu, X. M. & Wang, Y. Q., 2019. *Three-dimensional landscape modeling of Quancheng Square in Jinan city.* Beijing, IOP Publishing, pp. 1-6.
Rao, A. S. R. S. & Krantz, S. G., 2020. Data Science for Virtual Tourism Using Cutting-Edge Visualizations: Information Geometry and Conformal Mapping. *Patterns,* 1(5). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2020.100067
Rosenblum, L. D., 2011. *See What I'm Saying: The Extraordinary Powers of Our Five Senses.* s.l.:W. W. Norton & Company.
Schellen, L. et al., 2013. The use of a thermophysiological model in the built environment to predict thermal sensation: coupling with the indoor environment and thermal sensation. *Building and Environment,* Volume 59, pp. 10-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2012.07.010
Slater, M. & Usoh, M., 1993. Representations systems, perceptual position, and presence in immersive virtual environments. *Presence: Teleoperators & Virtual Environments,* 2(3), pp. 221-233. http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/pres.1993.2.3.221
Song, B. D. & Ko, Y. D., 2017. Quantitative approaches for economic use of emerging technology in the tourism industry: unmanned aerial vehicle systems. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research,* 22(12), pp. 1207-1220. https://doi.org/10.1080/10941665.2017.1378689
Styliadis, A. D. et al., 2009. Metadata-based heritage sites modeling with e-learning functionality. *Journal of Cultural Heritage,* 10(2), pp. 296-312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2008.08.014
Suksawasdi, M. L. V., 2022. *Accuracy of Digital Photogrammetric Survey from Aerial Images for Architectural Documentation in a Monuments: A Case Study of Wat Sing Sam Khok, Thailand.* s.l., Springer. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6932-3_45
Sultan, C., 2022. *Popular types of Virtual Reality.* [Online] Available at: https://rextheme.com/types-of-virtual-reality/ [Accessed 20 December 2022].
Su, Z. & Chen, L., 2022. [Retracted] Application Research of Virtual Reality Animation Technology in Agricultural Literature Creation Image Internet of Things. *Mobile Information Systems,* Volume 2022. https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/9850217
Talafubieke, M., Mai, S. & Xialifuhan, N., 2021. Evaluation of the Virtual Economic Effect of Tourism Product Emotional Marketing Based on Virtual Reality. *Frontiers in Psychology,* Volume 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.759268
Tatzgern, M. et al., 2015. Exploring real world points of interest: Design and evaluation of object centric exploration techniques for augmented reality. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing,* Volume 18, pp. 55-70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmcj.2014.08.010
Tavakoli, R. & Mura, P., 2015. 'Journeys in Second Life' - Iranian Muslim women's behaviour in virtual tourist destinations. *Tourism Management,* Volume 46, pp. 398-407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2014.07.015

Terando, W. D., Mennecke, B. E., Janvin, D. J. & Dilla, W. M., 2007. It's Just a Game, Or Is It? Real Money, Real Income, and Real Taxes in Virtual Worlds. *Scholarship and Professional Work - Business. 53,* Volume 20, pp. 134-141.
Turi, J., 2014. *The sights and scents of the Sensorama Simulator.* [Online] Available at: https://www.engadget.com/2014-02-16-morton-heiligs-sensorama-simulator.html?guccounter=1&guce_referrer=aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuYmlu [Accessed 1 May 2022].
Udovicic, G., Topic, A. & Russo, M., 2016. *Wearable technologies for smart environments: A review with emphasis on BCI.* Split, IEEE, pp. 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1109/SOFTCOM.2016.7772186
Vince, J., 2004. Introduction to virtual reality. *Springer.* https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-85729-386-2
Virtual Reality Society, 2017. *Virtual Reality Society.* [Online] Available at: https://www.vrs.org.uk/virtual-reality/beginning.html [Accessed 16 June 2021].
VRS, 2017. *Applications Of Virtual Reality.* [Online] Available at: https://www.vrs.org.uk/virtual-reality-applications/ [Accessed 05 July 2021].
Witmer, B. G. & Singer, M. J., 1998. Measuring Presence in Virtual Environments: A Presence Questionnaire. *Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments,* 7(3), p. 225–240. http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/985921.985934
Wong, I. A., Lin, S. K., Lin, Z. & Xiong, X., 2022. Welcome to stay-at-home travel and virtual attention restoration. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management,* Volume 51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhtm.2022.03.016
Wu, Y. & Zhou, Z., 2023. [Retracted] Intelligent City 3D Modeling Model Based on Multisource Data Point Cloud Algorithm. *Journal of Function Spaces,* Volume 2023. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2022/6135829
Yiakoumettis, C., Doulamis, N., Miaoulis, G. & Ghazanfarpour, D., 2014. Active learning of user's preferences estimation towards a personalized 3D navigation of geo-referenced scenes. *Geoinformatica,* Volume 18, p. 27–62. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10707-013-0176-0
Yoon, S., Laffey, J. & Oh, H., 2008. Understanding usability and user experience of web-based 3D graphics technology. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction,* pp. 288-306. https://doi.org/10.1080/10447310801920516
Yue, W. & Fang, C., 2017. *The Design and Implementation of Mount Lu 3D Virtual Tourism System.* s.l., Atlantis Press, pp. 38-41. https://doi.org/10.2991/icmse-17.2017.7
Yung, R. & Khoo-Lattimore, C., 2019. New realities: A systematic literature review on virtual reality and augmented. *Current Issues in Tourism,* 22(17), p. 2056–2081. https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2017.1417359
Zaifri, M. et al., 2023. From Earlier Exploration to Advanced Applications: Bibliometric and Systematic Review of Augmented Reality in the Tourism Industry (2002–2022. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction,* 7(64). https://doi.org/10.3390/mti7070064
Zhenrao, C., Chaoyang, F., Qian, Z. & Fulong, C., 2021. Joint development of cultural heritage protection and tourism: the case of Mount Lushan cultural landscape heritage site. *Heritage Science,* 9(86). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40494-021-00558-5

Author

Polishchuk Elizaveta
0000-0002-3414-9239
PhD
Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences
liza.polishchuk.96@inbox.ru